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## HTR BLACK HILLS RESORT AREA | SCENARIOS C \& D

U.S. HIGHWAY 16 CORRIDOR STUDY: RURAL SUB-AREA ANALYSIS


SDOTOFHWA
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## STRATO RIM - BUSTED FIVE - WILDERNESS CANYON AREA | EVALUATION MATRIX

 U.S. HIGHWAY 16 CORRIDOR STUDY: RURAL SUB-AREA ANALYSIS|  | $2050$ <br> Operations |  | Predicted Safety $(2026-2050)$ | Access vs. Mobility Priority |  | ROW Impact | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Long-Range Intersection Operations | Worst-Case Experienced Travel Time |  | Highest level of (managed) access | Highest levels of mobility | Acres | Considerations |
| Scenario A | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | $<3$ | - Potential to overlay existing lanes |
| Scenario B | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | <3 | - Potential to overlay existing lanes |
| Scenario C | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | >10 | - Full reconstruction required |
| Scenario D | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | $\underline{5}$ | >10 | - Full reconstruction required <br> - Existing Hwy 16 lanes as frontage road |
| Scenario E | $\underline{5}$ | 4 | $\underline{5}$ | 4 | $\underline{5}$ | 3-5 | - Full reconstruction required <br> - Existing Hwy 16 lanes as frontage road |
| No Build | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - |

1 (worst) - 5 (best)
3 or better meets study baseline criteria
4 and 5 are key differentiators

## STRATO RIM - BUSTED FIVE - WILDERNESS CANYON AREA | SUMMARY
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| Scenario | 2050 Planning Horizon Traffic Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Predicted Safety (2026-2050) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Strato Rim Drive Intersection |  | Busted Five Court Intersection |  | Wilderness Canyon Road Intersection |  | Fatal \& Injury Crashes | Total Crashes |
|  | Experienced Travel Time $(\text { sec })^{*}$ $A M / P M$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RCI Stop-Controlled } \\ \text { Delay (sec)** } \\ \text { AM /PM } \end{gathered}$ | Experienced Travel <br> Time (sec)* <br> AM / PM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RCI Stop-Controlled } \\ & \text { Delay (sec)* } \\ & \text { AM /PM } \end{aligned}$ | Experienced Travel Time (sec)* AM / PM | $\begin{gathered} \text { RCI Stop-Controlled } \\ \text { Delay (sec)** } \\ \text { AM /PM } \end{gathered}$ | \% Increase (+) or Decrease (-) from No Build | \% Increase (+) or Decrease (-) from No Build |
| Scenario A RCls (3) on Existing Alignment | $35 / 39$ | 14/18 | $32 / 39$ | 15/17 | $38 / 35$ | 16/17 | -29\% | -22\% |
| Scenario B Full Access Intersection Improvements <br> (3) on Existing Alignment | 27-28 / 53-68 | - | 27-31 / 52-75 | - | 43-60 / 58-75 | - | -14\% | -12\% |
| Scenarios C, D, and E RCls (2) on New Alignment | - | - | $34 / 52$ | 18/28 | $38 / 35$ | 16/17 | -33\% | -29\% |
| No Build | 29/49 | - | $31 / 67$ | - | $64 / 145$ | - | Baseline | Baseline |

* Reflects the worst-case condition, typically a vehicle turning left from the side-street (Strato Rim Drive, Busted ive Court, or Wilderness Canyon Road) to head towards Rapid City

Experienced Travel Time (ETT) considers intersection delay plus extra distance travel time of the entire origindestination path through the multiple intersections of an RCI. For a traditional intersection, ETT only reflects the intersection delay.
RCI stop-controlled delay reflects the time a motorist would wait at the side-street stop sign before finding an acceptable gap to turn into the RCl's median U-turn lane.

